

## News From The President

Darrin Trussell

By the time you receive this month's issue, the legislative session should be near the halfway point. So far, nothing has appeared that directly affects bikers. But like the steep grade signs along I-70 state, "Don't be fooled, you're not down the hill yet".

The hot issue continues to be the budget. Senator Dave Owen has the unenviable task of hacking and slashing to rid the state of a near 850 million dollar shortfall. While Senator Owen is cutting, other legislators are introducing bills that increase the fees for government services. Like the line from the movie Full Metal Jacket goes, "It's a huge s#!t sandwich and we're all going to have to take a big bite".

One of the other issues continues to be concealed carry of firearms. SB-063, the Vermont-style bill by Senator Lamborn and Representative Schultheis and SB-024, the more restrictive concealed carry by Senator Chlouber and Representative White were both heard in committee in January.

At the time both bills were heard, it was decided to proceed with SB-063 and lay over SB-024. But low and behold, the CSSA (Colorado State Shooting Association) along with Senator Chlouber resurrected SB-024, moved it to another committee and got it passed (2/10/03). So now we sit here with two bills in the Appropriations Committee with the chance that both may fail.

The two remaining gun issues I'd like to address are SB-025 and HB-1119.

SB-025, which preempts local gun control laws, seems to be the redheaded bastard stepchild nobody wants to deal with. It passed on 1/14/03; however, Senator Ken "Benedict" Arnold saw fit to amend the bill to allow cities to forbid open carry of firearms. This amendment is in direct violation of the Colorado Constitution which states, "The right of no person to keep and bear arms in defense of his home, person and property, or in aid of the civil power when thereto legally summoned, shall be called in question; but nothing herein contained shall be construed to justify the practice of carrying concealed weapons" (Section 13, Bill of Rights).

Unfortunately, SB-025 appears to be stalled on the Senate floor. It's time for us to put a boot to the head of our Senators and get them to put this bill through, unamended. You can call the Senate switchboard at 1-888-473-8136 (303-866-2316 for metro area callers).

HB-1119, clarifying the definition of a gun show, was pulled

by Representative Rose. When presented to the State, Veterans & Military Affairs Committee, Representative Rose was asked to work with unSafe of Colorado in the wording of his bill. To this, he promptly pulled his bill, knowing there is no way he could work out the issues with those knuckleheads.

In the area of highway "safety", we have two bills from the dynamic duo of Senator Ken "Benedict" Arnold and his trusty lapdog Representative Fran Coleman in the form of HB-1184 and SB-125.

HB-1184, a stand-alone bill that reduced the current standard for DUI from .10 to .08 bac was Postponed Indefinitely in the Information & Technology Committee on 2/12/03.

The other bill yet to be heard is SB-125, Traffic Law Changes For Federal Moneys. Now isn't that a snappy name for a piece of BS legislation cleverly crafted by Arnold, a former storm trooper, oops, I mean state trooper. The bill is threefold; as it a) makes the seatbelt law a primary offense b) prohibits open containers and c) lowers the bac limit from .10 to .08. This bill is assigned to the Judiciary Committee and was to be heard in early February, but for some unknown reason was not. I have a gut feeling this will be a last minute bill to get pushed through the legislature. Call or write now before it's too late!

The legislature still seems to be saddled with the no-fault insurance issue. The bills so far have either been killed or laid over in committee. If the legislature doesn't come up with a solution this session, the no-fault law will sunset. I'm sure the ambulance chasing trial lawyers would love to see that happen. This is an issue worth watching to ensure motorcycles aren't included in any of the bills.

At the federal level, things aren't looking any better.

Tom Daschle, Democrat Senator from South Dakota, has introduced S. 22 entitled "Justice Enhancement and Domestic Security Act of 2003". Among the many anti-civil-liberties proposals is ballistics testing for new firearms and language-making gun shows presumptively illegal except when authorized by the BATF.

Also buried deep within the "Crack house law" is a so-called "RAVE Act" that punishes promoters of events where the consumption of drugs occurs. The language is so broad that can include bar owners, motel or hotel owners, even homeowners. Yes, you as a homeowner could be held liable if your son or daughter is caught using drugs on your property. I believe it's time to crackdown on the "crack house law" and DUMP Daschle!

This last piece is one of great concern to me and should be to you as well. It's deceptively named "Patriot Act II". This bill, if passed, would give the government unchecked powers to invade

**Continued on page 2**

## RIDERS FOR JUSTICE MEETINGS



**EASTERN SLOPE**  
**MARCH 9th, 2003**  
**SUNDAY 11:00 AM**  
**CF&G TIMNATH CO**  
**MARCH 11th, 2003**  
**TUESDAY 7:00 P.M.**  
**AMERICAN LEGION**  
**WINDSOR, CO**



**WESTERN SLOPE**  
**MARCH 12th, 2003**  
**WEDNESDAY 7:00 P.M.**  
**EAGLES LODGE**  
**ORCHARD MESA**  
**GRAND JUNCTION, CO**



*"A state may not impose a charge for the enjoyment of a right granted by the federal constitution... The power to impose a license tax on the exercise of these freedoms is indeed as potent as the power of censorship which this Court has repeatedly struck down... a person cannot be compelled 'to purchase, through a license fee or a license tax, the privilege freely granted by the constitution.'" - MURDOCK V. PENNSYLVANIA 319 US 105 (1942)"*

**Riders For Justice**  
**PO Box 1986**  
**Loveland CO 80539-1986**

**Change Service Requested**

Presorted  
Standard  
U.S. Postage  
Paid  
Loveland, CO  
Permit No. 238



**EMBROIDERY UNLIMITED**

BRUCE A. PINO      also WEST PROSPECT  
FORT COLLINS, CO 80526

Voice: (970) 495-1125  
Cell: (970) 217-6848

DOC@GONG@FRIL.COM

## Riders For Justice Bylaws

1. The organizations's purpose is to defend and promote the rights of bikers, as well as, to associate for social reasons.
2. Persons speaking on behalf of RFJ must be the elected officers of a representative designated by the membership or officers.
3. All officers must be a registered voter and have a valid motorcycle license.
4. The membership can by a 3/4 majority, effect an election of officers at any regular meeting.
5. The membership can by a 3/4 majority vote, deny new membership, if any member questions the new membership.
6. In order for anyone to vote at the meetings, they must be a paid member.
7. Renewal of membership dues are from October to October.
8. Should this organization be dissolved, all funds shall be donated to a similar organization.

## Purpose of Riders For Justice

1. We intend to support our current Constitution and keep as much of it intact as we can.
2. We also advise our membership of the best candidates for which to vote. When they are sometimes equally good or bad, we do not make a recommendation.
3. We DO NOT and WILL NOT receive money from any government entity.
4. Our officers are available through email and/or direct telephone.
5. None of our officers are paid for their work or reimbursed for food, travel or lodging.
6. We hire a lobbying firm to represent us at the state capitol.
7. The officers also lobby at the capitol and at town meetings with state and federal congressmen.
8. We ARE NOT and DO NOT intend to be politically correct.
9. We print in our newsletter, articles of political and social interest for members, as well as, keeping them abreast of current and potential bills.
10. If a very adverse bill appears, we make every attempt to contact our members by phone and with an immediate news bulletin.

To download the document, you can go to [www.public-i.org/dtaweb](http://www.public-i.org/dtaweb) . It's a rather lengthy document, (would you expect anything else from the government?) but one that bears our attention.

Thanks go out to all of our members who helped out at both the Denver swap meet and at the western slope fund raiser. Your hard work and dedication does not go unnoticed.

On a final note, I will be in Daytona for Bike Week (lucky me!), so Lucky will be handling the Sunday March 9<sup>th</sup> meeting and I will be at the one on Tuesday March 11<sup>th</sup>. Oh, and I hope to see you at the Hawg Wild swap meet on the 15<sup>th</sup> and 16<sup>th</sup> of March.

## Notes from The NorthEast Colorado VP

By Lucky

Well this may be a bit of old news, but the Brady Bunch are at it again. Last January, they filed suit against the Bushmaster Corp. and the gun shop that sold the "assault weapon" to the D.C. snipers. As if we couldn't see that coming! This is just more of the sniveling gun haters way to piss me off! So I have decided that I want to file a lawsuit against them. If there are any lawyers out there with balls, come and get a hold of me and lets spank them with a rolled-up Constitution! These folks are totally within the legal boundaries. They did all required background checks, and did absolutely nothing wrong. They can't be responsible for the actions of their customers after they make a legal purchase.

While we are on the subjects of lawsuits, this is as good a time as any to discuss the Stella Awards. The Stella Awards (like the Darwin Awards) are named after Stella Liebeck who spilled coffee on herself and with great success won like some 2 million sommolians from McDonalds. This inspired people all over the country to jump on the bandwagon and win some of the most frivolous of lawsuits. Here may be some good candidates.

Carl Truman, 19, of Lost Angeles won \$74,000 and medical expenses when his neighbor ran over his hand with his car, a Honda Accord. Not noticing someone was behind the wheel of the car while trying to steal his hubcaps.

Kathleen Robertson of Austin Texas was awarded \$780,000 by a jury after breaking her ankle tripping over a toddler running amok in a furniture store. To the store owner's amazement at the verdict was the consideration that the little gremlin was Ms. Robertson's son.

Terrence Dickson, Bristol Penn - after robbing a home and making his escape via the garage, found that the garage door opener was malfunctioning. Pulling the door shut to the house behind him was also locked. The family happily on vacation, Dickson found himself locked in for eight days. Subsisting on Pepsi and dry dog food, he sued claiming the situation caused him undue mental anguish. The jury agreed and awarded him \$500,000.

Jerry Williams of Little Rock Arkansas was awarded \$14,500 and medical expenses after being bitten on the arse by his next-door neighbors beagle. The dog was on a chain in his owner's fenced yard. The award was less than sought because the jury felt the dog might have been provoked by Williams repeatedly shooting it with a pellet gun.

Amber Carson Lancaster Penn - a restaurant was ordered to pay \$113,500 when she slipped on a soft drink and broke her coccyx, (you know her ass). The liquid culprit was on the floor because 30 seconds before she had thrown it at her boyfriend during an argument.


In Claymont Delaware, \$12,000 and dental expenses, were awarded to Kara Walton when she fell from a bathroom window to the floor knocking out her front teeth. This occurred when trying to sneak in a neighboring city nightclubs ladies room to avoid paying a \$3.50 cover charge.

Okay now this one - Merv Grazinsky of Oklahoma City, Ok. After purchasing a new 32 foot Winnebago, on his maiden voyage to home Merv gets out on the freeway, sets the cruise, and you guessed it, he got up and went back to make him some coffee. Lets just say the motor home crashed and burned. Suing Winnie for not advising him in the owners manual that this was not considered good practice, the jury gave him, oh your gonna love this, \$1,750,000, and a new rig! Winnebago has actually changed their operator's manuals on the basis of this suit! I believe this also happened in Iowa several years ago to a bunch of Arabs. But I don't recall

## RIDERS FOR JUSTICE

Western CO	North East CO	South East CO
P.O. Box 1192	P.O. Box 1986	PO Box 478
Clifton, CO 81520-1192	Loveland, CO 80539-1986	Divide, CO 80814-0478
970-874-3473	970-217-8794	719-686-1775

Darrin Trussell	President	Loveland	970-217-8794	rfjdarrin@ridersforjustice.com
Lucky Sugarman	V.P.N.E.	Windsor	970-674-5043	rfjlucky@ridersforjustice.com
Carl Dodson	V.P. West	Grand Junction	970-874-3473	rfjcarl@ridersforjustice.com
Knucklehead	V.P. S.W.	Dolores	970-882-4312	rfjknuckle@ridersforjustice.com
Scott Martin	V.P. S.E.	Colorado Springs	719-686-1775	rfjscott@ridersforjustice.com
Bob Schleiger	Editor	Windsor	970-686-2253	rfjbob@ridersforjustice.com
Deb Bowen	Sec/Treas	Fort Collins	970-226-0377	rfjdeb@ridersforjustice.com
Kendra Tavarone	Treas West	Clifton	970-434-4847	rfjkendra@ridersforjustice.com
Jill Rademacher	Sec West	Grand Junction	970-434-4644	rfjjill@ridersforjustice.com



# Greens Reveal their Plan for Global Domination

By Alan Caruba

On March 14, 2002, a program to capture the Capitalist system and control it for the purpose of advancing the twisted values of environmentalism was spelled out in a presentation titled "Restructuring the Global Economy."

According to the Greens behind this plan, "Economic globalization is the greatest single contributor to the massive ecological crisis of our time, yet this is an aspect that is often ignored by the media, NGOs, policymakers, and citizens. Its inherent emphasis on increased trade requires corresponding expansion of transportation of infrastructures, airports, seaports, roads, rail-lines, pipelines, dams, electric grids, many of these are constructed in pristine landscapes, often on indigenous people's lands.

"Increased transport also uses drastically increased fossil fuels, adding to the problems of climate change, ozone depletion, and ocean, air, and soil pollution."

There is a very big problem with the assertion quoted above. None of it is true. If the US, let alone the rest of the world, did not have airports, seaports, roads, rail-lines, pipelines, dams and electric grids, you would not be reading this, nor would there be too many goods in your local supermarket or mall.

Nor is the world running out of so-called "fossil fuels." Moreover, world trade is generally seen as the best way to lift Third World nations out of their grinding poverty while increasing peaceful and fruitful relations between industrialized nations. More goods mean more money and more jobs everywhere in a world that has six billion mouths to feed every day.

At the heart of the environmental—Green—movement have been people who are hardcore Marxists, haters of Capitalism and the corporations and countless small businesses that sustain it. Their problem, however, is that Communism doesn't work. It has a record of having enslaved and killed millions of people who fell under its control.

To Randall Hayes, the man who presented his paper at the 2002 Johns Hopkins Symposium on Foreign Affairs, Capitalism "is an absurd economic system rapidly destroying nature, cultural diversity, and decent local life." Admitting that there were no "attractive alternatives" to Capitalism, he offered proposal that it "be radically improved, humanized, and ecologized."

The key word here is "radically." Humanity is not high on the list of priorities for the Greens who thrive on programs that kill large numbers of people deprived of pesticides to protect them against Nature's greatest vectors of disease, insect and rodent pests. Deprive farmers of the pesticides and herbicides needed to protect their crops against these predators. Deprive people of electrical power from non-polluting hydroelectric dams or nuclear utilities and they remain impoverished. And, most importantly, deprive people of a voice in their affairs through democratic elections by destroying the sovereignty of nations.

The other key word is "ecologized" and that, presumably, means substituting the lies and other control mechanisms that would render what's left of Capitalism the tool of a single group of un-elected Green elites operating under the aegis of the United Nations.

Who is Randall Hayes? He is the president of the radical Rainforest Action Network. He has created the International Forum on Globalization IFOG), described by Ron Arnold, the author of "Undue Influence", "Trashing the Economy" and several other books on the Greens, as "assortment of some 60 anti-capitalist organizations and intellectuals from 25 nations." These groups and others have been patiently and malevolently putting together a plan for global domination for decades. The operating element of the plan is the United Nations which, itself, has made it clear it intends to be the sole global government for the entire planet.

Up to now, however, no Green has so boldly stated the true intention of the movement. The plan would dismantle the institutions that monitor global trade and substitute UN agencies in their place. They claim to be driven by the desire to save the Earth and the basis for their claims are the totally bogus "global warming" hoax and others that assert that the air, the water, the soil, and all life on Earth is either polluted or endangered. The Earth is 4.5 billion years old. It does not need saving, particularly if that means the enslavement of the human race.

What Hayes and his fellow Greens claim is hogwash! Every advance in science and technology has come from learning how to secure the maximum benefit of Earth's natural resources.

Do not take it for granted you can place a call to a friend in Europe or Australia and hear them as clearly as if they lived next door. Do not take for granted that the water from your faucet is potable. Do not take for granted your supermarket will be filled with a huge variety of foods, products to keep your home clean, and other items, all of which arrived by truck. Do not take for granted that public health mosquito control programs protect you against West Nile Virus or Malaria. Do not take for granted you will be permitted to get in your car or get on a plane to go anywhere you want.

Nothing in modern life exists without the research and development that has extended your life. It has been entrepreneurs and corporations who have risked huge sums to bring about progress.

The Greens hate progress.

In this, they are financially supported by foundations whose goal it is to control the world's money supply and, thereby, its future. They include the Rockefeller Brothers Fund, the MacArthur Foundation, the Ford Foundation, HKH Foundation and the Turner Foundation, among just a few of those funding IFOG. All fund anti-globalization groups.

As Ron Arnold points out,

Randall Hayes' Rainforest Action Network is "a shakedown operation, as RAN's arrest record indicates. He doesn't mention that his organization used unlawful activities such as trespass, intimidation and vandalism against his targets." Indeed, the Internal Revenue Service "has been asked to revoke RAN's tax exempt status for these very offenses."

The IFOG plan that Hayes unveiled is a plan to rule the world. All despotisms proudly announce their plans. Had the world read and acted upon the rantings of Adolph Hitler's "Mein Kampf" in the 1930s, we would have been spared World War II. Had the world acted to thwart the aims of the Communist Manifesto, Russia would have been spared seventy years of horror and Red China would not now be threatening the United States with ICBMs.

Hayes' plan would give non-profit groups access to the most radical economic decision-making power within the United Nations. It would remove corporations and nations from that process. It would impose restrictions on the use of all natural resources and it would do so in the name of saving the Earth. From whom? From YOU!

© 2002 Alan Caruba. All Rights Reserved.

***Keep in mind that the Boston Tea Party was sparked by a one half of one percent increase in the tea tax. Couple that with the fact that most American citizens pay over 50% of their earnings in one form of tax or another, and you'll understand why the camel's back is expected to break at any time. -Angel Shamaya, KeepAndBearArms.com***

# TATTOO

## SKIBO'S

---

**FRONT RANGE STUDIO**

★ **BODY PIERCING** ★

**Ft. Collins Oldest and Finest**

**ESTABLISHED 1982**

---

# 224-5241

---

**1008 North College Avenue**

**Ft. Collins, Co. 80524**

**Major Credit Cards Accepted**

## Group to File Suit Challenging Bush's Authority to Declare War

<http://www.cato.org/>

The Associated Press reports, "President Bush does not have the authority to launch a military invasion of Iraq without a congressional declaration of war, according to a lawsuit expected to be filed in federal court in Boston on Thursday. Six members of Congress, three U.S. servicemen and the parents of other U.S. military personnel said on Wednesday they would file the lawsuit that claims any U.S. invasion of Iraq without congressional authority would be unconstitutional."

Gene Healy, senior editor at the Cato Institute, examined the constitutional basis for such a challenge in a February 2002 Cato Daily Commentary, "War With Iraq: Who Decides?" He writes:

"The suggestion that the president should have unilateral power to make war was decisively rejected at the Constitutional Convention of 1787. As delegate Elbridge Gerry of Massachusetts put it, he 'never expected to hear in a republic a motion to empower the executive alone to make war.' Instead, the Framers agreed that Congress would have the power to declare war.... But if the president can take us into war with Iraq without so much as a by-your-leave to Congress, then Congress' power to declare war isn't worth the parchment it's written on. Congressional hawks and doves alike have the power—and the responsibility—to vote on the question. And for his part, President Bush ought to acknowledge that until Congress votes him the authority to attack Iraq, the Constitution stays his hand."

In "War By Legal Loophole" Healy writes, "The 'regime change' operation being contemplated is not some minor, low-intensity conflict. It's a full-scale invasion, undertaken without a clear casus belli, and one that may result in an even wider and bloodier conflagration throughout the Middle East if Hussein attacks Israel and Israel responds. Our representatives ought not to shirk their duty to deliberate these issues, and then stand and be counted.

"Nor should they let the president launch a war based on the flimsiest of legal rationalizations. The proposed war with Iraq may involve up to a quarter of a million American soldiers, each risking the ultimate sacrifice. They deserve a better reason to make that sacrifice than clever lawyering from the White House Counsel's office."

## Justice Dept. Drafts Sweeping Expansion of Anti-Terrorism Act

By Charles Lewis and Adam Mayle

(WASHINGTON, Feb. 7, 2003) — The Bush Administration is preparing a bold, comprehensive sequel

to the USA Patriot Act passed in the wake of September 11, 2001, which will give the government broad, sweeping new powers to increase domestic intelligence-gathering, surveillance and law enforcement prerogatives, and simultaneously decrease judicial review and public access to information.

The Center for Public Integrity has obtained a draft, dated January 9, 2003, of this previously undisclosed legislation and is making it available in full text (12 MB). The bill, drafted by the staff of Attorney General John Ashcroft and entitled the *Domestic Security Enhancement Act of 2003*, has not been officially released by the Department of Justice, although rumors of its development have circulated around the Capitol for the last few months under the name of "the Patriot Act II" in legislative parlance.

"We haven't heard anything from the Justice Department on updating the Patriot Act," House Judiciary Committee spokesman Jeff Lungren told the Center. "They haven't shared their thoughts on that. Obviously, we'd be interested, but we haven't heard anything at this point."

Senior members of the Senate Judiciary Committee minority staff have inquired about Patriot II for months and have been told as recently as this week that there is no such legislation being planned.

Mark Corallo, deputy director of Justice's Office of Public Affairs, told the Center his office was unaware of the draft. "I have heard people talking about revising the Patriot Act, we are looking to work on things the way we would do with any law," he said. "We may work to make modifications to protect Americans," he added. When told that the Center had a copy of the draft legislation, he said, "This is all news to me. I have never heard of this."


After the Center posted this story, Barbara Comstock, director of public affairs for the Justice Dept., released a statement saying that, "Department staff have not presented any final proposals to either the Attorney General or the White House. It would be premature to speculate on any future decisions, particularly ideas or proposals that are still being discussed at staff levels."

An Office of Legislative Affairs "control sheet" that was obtained by the PBS program "Now With Bill Moyers" seems to indicate that a copy of the bill was sent to Speaker of the House Dennis Hastert and Vice President Richard Cheney on Jan. 10, 2003. "Attached for your review and comment is a draft legislative proposal entitled the 'Domestic Security Enhancement Act of 2003,'" the memo, sent from "OLP" or Office of Legal Policy, says.

Comstock later told the Center that the draft "is an early discussion draft and it has not been sent to either the Vice President or the Speaker of the House."

Dr. David Cole, Georgetown University Law professor and author of *Terrorism and the Constitution*, reviewed the draft legislation at the request of the Center, and said that the legislation "raises a lot of serious concerns. It's troubling that they have gotten this far along and they've been telling people there is nothing in the works." This proposed law, he added, "would radically expand law enforcement and intelligence gathering authorities, reduce or eliminate judicial oversight over surveillance, authorize secret arrests, create a DNA database based on unchecked executive 'suspicion,' create new death penalties, and even seek to take American citizenship away from persons who belong to or support disfavored political groups."

Some of the key provision of the Domestic Security Enhancement Act of 2003 include:



Section 201, "Prohibition of Disclosure of Terrorism Investigation Detainee Information": Safeguarding the dissemination of information related to national security has been a hallmark of Ashcroft's first two years in office, and the Domestic Security Enhancement Act of 2003 follows in the footsteps of his October 2001 directive to carefully consider such interest when granting Freedom of Information Act requests. While the October memo simply encouraged FOIA officers to take national security, "protecting sensitive business information and, not least, preserving personal privacy" into account while deciding on requests, the proposed legislation would enhance the department's ability to deny releasing material on suspected terrorists in government custody through FOIA.

Section 202, "Distribution of 'Worst Case Scenario' Information": This would introduce new FOIA restrictions with regard to the Environmental Protection Agency. As provided for in the Clean Air Act, the EPA requires private companies that use potentially dangerous chemicals must produce a "worst case scenario" report detailing the effect that the release of these controlled substances would have on the surrounding community. Section 202 of this Act would, however, restrict FOIA requests to these reports, which the bill's drafters refer to as "a roadmap for terrorists." By reducing public access to "read-only" methods for only those persons "who live and work in the geographical area likely to be affected by a worst-case scenario," this subtitle would obfuscate an established level of transparency between private industry and the public.

Section 301-306, "Terrorist Identification Database": These sections would authorize creation of a DNA database on "suspected terrorists," expansively defined to include association with suspected terrorist groups, and noncitizens suspected of certain crimes or of having supported any group designated as terrorist.

Section 312, "Appropriate Remedies with Respect to Law Enforcement Surveillance Activities": This section would terminate all state law enforcement consent decrees before Sept. 11, 2001, not related to racial profiling or other civil rights violations, that limit such agencies from gathering information about individuals and organizations. The authors of this statute claim that these consent orders, which were

passed as a result of police spying abuses, could impede current terrorism investigations. It would also place substantial restrictions on future court injunctions.

Section 405, "Presumption for Pretrial Detention in Cases Involving Terrorism": While many people charged with drug offenses punishable by prison terms of 10 years or more are held before their trial without bail, this provision would create a comparable statute for those suspected of terrorist activity. The reasons for presumptively holding suspected terrorists before trial, the Justice Department summary memo states, are clear. "This presumption is warranted because of the unparalleled magnitude of the danger to the United States and its people posed by acts of terrorism, and because terrorism is typically engaged in by groups - many with international connections - that are often in a position to help their members flee or go into hiding."

Section 501, "Expatriation of Terrorists": This provision, the drafters say, would establish that an American citizen could be expatriated "if, with the intent to relinquish his nationality, he becomes a member of, or provides material support to, a group that the United States has designated as a 'terrorist organization'." But whereas a citizen formerly had to state his intent to relinquish his citizenship, the new law affirms that his intent can be "inferred from conduct." Thus, engaging in the lawful activities of a group designated as a "terrorist organization" by the Attorney General could be presumptive grounds for expatriation.

The Domestic Security Enhancement Act is the latest development in an 18-month trend in which the Bush Administration has sought expanded powers and responsibilities for law enforcement bodies to help counter the threat of terrorism.

The USA Patriot Act, signed into law by President Bush on Oct. 26, 2001, gave law enforcement officials broader authority to conduct electronic surveillance and wiretaps, and gives the president the authority, when the nation is under attack, to confiscate any property within U.S. jurisdiction of anyone believed to be engaging in such attacks. The measure also tightened oversight of financial activities to prevent money laundering and diminish bank secrecy in an effort to disrupt terrorist finances.

It also changed provisions of Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act, which was passed in 1978 during the Cold War. FISA established a different standard of government oversight and judicial review for "foreign intelligence" surveillance than that applied to traditional domestic law enforcement surveillance.

The USA Patriot Act allowed the Federal Bureau of Investigation to share information gathered in terrorism investigations under the "foreign intelligence" standard with local law enforcement agencies, in essence nullifying the higher standard of oversight that applied to domestic investigations. The USA Patriot Act also amended FISA to permit surveillance under the less rigorous standard whenever "foreign intelligence" was a "significant purpose" rather than the "primary purpose" of an investigation.

The draft legislation goes further in that direction. "In the [USA Patriot Act] we have to break down the wall of foreign intelligence and law enforcement," Cole said. "Now they want to break down the wall between international terrorism and domestic terrorism."

In an Oct. 9, 2002, hearing of the Senate Judiciary Subcommittee on Technology, Terrorism, and Government Information, Deputy Assistant Attorney General Alice

Fisher testified that Justice had been, "looking at potential proposals on following up on the PATRIOT Act for new tools and we have also been working with different agencies within the government and they are still studying that and hopefully we will continue to work with this committee in the future on new tools that we believe are necessary in the war on terrorism."

Asked by Sen. Russ Feingold (D-Wis.) whether she could inform the committee of what specific areas Justice was looking at, Fisher replied, "At this point I can't, I'm sorry. They're studying a lot of different ideas and a lot of different tools that follow up on information sharing and other aspects."

Assistant Attorney General for Legal Policy Viet Dinh, who was the principal author of the first Patriot Act, told Legal Times last October that there was "an ongoing process to continue evaluating and re-evaluating authorities we have with respect to counterterrorism," but declined to say whether a new bill was forthcoming.

Former FBI Director William Sessions, who urged caution while Congress considered the USA Patriot Act, did not want to enter the fray concerning a possible successor bill.

"I hate to jump into it, because it's a very delicate thing," Sessions told the Center, without acknowledging whether he knew of any proposed additions or revisions to the additional Patriot bill.

When the first bill was nearing passage in the Congress in late 2001, however, Sessions told Internet site NewsMax.Com that the balance between civil liberties and sufficient intelligence gathering was a difficult one. "First of all, the Attorney General has to justify fully what he's asking for," Sessions, who served presidents Reagan and George H.W. Bush as FBI Director from 1987 until 1993, said at the time. "We need to be sure that we provide an effective means to deal with criminality." At the same time, he said, "we need to be sure that we are mindful of the Constitution, mindful of privacy considerations, but also meet the technological needs we have" to gather intelligence.

Cole found it disturbing that there have been no consultations with Congress on the draft legislation. "It raises a lot of serious concerns and is troubling as a generic matter that they have gotten this far along and tell people that there is nothing in the works. What that suggests is that they're waiting for a propitious time to introduce it, which might well be when a war is begun. At that time there would be less opportunity for discussion and they'll have a much stronger hand in saying that they need these right away."

*(Editors Note: It is critical for you to contact your Senators and Representative to let them know that it is unacceptable to take more of our freedoms. Remind them that the (un)Patriot Bill is unconstitutional and it is their duty to uphold the Constitution.)*

<http://www.publicintegrity.org/>

Copyright 2001, The Center for Public Integrity. All rights reserved

## **ECONOMIC STUDY FINDS EPA SCHEME FOR STREET MOTORCYCLES A SMALL BUSINESS KILLER**

Washington, D.C. - A new study by a noteworthy economist found that emissions standards for highway motorcycles recently proposed by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) neglects thousands of small businesses and could cost tens of thousands of jobs in America.

According to Garrett A. Vaughn, Ph.D., the EPA's cost estimate approach, "inherently favors a few large manufacturers at the expense of smaller manufacturers, and totally ignores the far more numerous smaller firms...of the U.S. motorcycle industry." Thereby, Dr. Vaughn found, the EPA did not meet its obligations under the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (SBREFA) and Executive Order 12866 (which requires federal agencies

**Continued on page 6**

**JERRY'S LEATHER GOODS INC.**

QUALITY SALES AND SERVICE SINCE 1946

303-781-0121



... MADE IN THE USA

**MOTORCYCLE LEATHERS, JACKETS, CHAPS, VESTS, PANTS & SADDLEBAGS**

**MOTORCYCLE LEATHERS REPAIRED CLEANED, ZIPPERS REPLACED!**

**4965 S. BROADWAY, ENGLEWOOD, 80110**

to consider less stringent alternatives to rulemaking) when developing this proposed rule.

Because the EPA seeks to adopt California's emissions standards nationwide, Dr. Vaughn looked at the impact in that state. He discovered that motorcycling in California has sharply declined since their standards were adopted, while motorcycling increased everywhere else in America. In fact, Dr. Vaughn's study found that California experienced a 7.7% reduction in motorcycle registrations between 1996 and 2001 while motorcycling increased by 32.2% in the other 49 states.

"In addition to the economic impact, the study also examined potential safety hazards of equipping street motorcycles with catalytic converters, from burn hazards to rider fatigue due to heat stress," observed Tom Wyld, Vice President of Government Relations for the Motorcycle Riders Foundation (MRF), the organization that commissioned the study. The Vaughn study was paid for solely with emergency contributions to the MRF by individual motorcyclists and State Motorcyclists' Rights Organizations nationwide.

"America will pay the steep price of needlessly restrictive street bike emissions standards in human currency," said Wyld. "By imposing virtually no road wear, easing traffic congestion and dramatically increasing fuel conservation, motorcycling is an answer to America's most pressing surface transportation problems. In fact, if encouraged, motorcycling can cut U.S. reliance on foreign oil. But motorcycling can't do it if a federal agency is allowed to impose needlessly restrictive standards that will reduce motorcycling in America."

The Vaughn study is available in its entirety in pdf format on the MRF's website at [www.mrf.org](http://www.mrf.org). The Motorcycle Riders Foundation is the only membership-based national motorcyclists' rights organization headquartered in Washington DC devoted exclusively to the street

## Oregon Bills Move Forward

### Gunny, Oregon AIM Chief of Staff

The legislature here in Oregon meets every two years, so we have what are known as "Biker Days" down at the Capitol. They are scheduled on session work days, so we are seen and heard there, at our capitol in Salem. Bikers all over Oregon take a day off work, and some do every "Biker Day," and either ride or drive to our Capitol and wear black leather in the Capitol building. We talk with our Representatives and Senators about issues that concern us as riders and other issues that are of concern as citizens, too.

This year we have several bills that are Biker-related. Two already have numbers and are assigned to the transportation committee. Namely, our ongoing helmet law modification bill, HB3432 and our new optional headlight use bill, HB2419.



The Helmet bill is optional use for adults over 21. We might just get it done this year because we have a new, and hopefully more progressive, Governor. Our last Gov was an emergency room doctor, so we had TWO helmet bills passed and then vetoed by that guy! Good riddance to him. The headlight use bill also has good support.

Other bills being processed are the Lane Splitting Bill (authorizes lane splitting under very strict circumstances); another is to end healthcare insurance discrimination against Bikers. If you have an accident on a bike, some companies deny coverage, and THIS NEEDS TO STOP. We also want vehicular assault laws toughened up so when a biker is injured or killed in a wreck, the perpetrator doesn't just get his wrist slapped.

There are a couple of others that are not offered by BikePAC, but still are of interest to us. One is the allowance of multiple headlight use and the other would allow riders to put "Blue Dot" taillights on their bikes, which is HB2398. "Blue dot" taillights light up a violet color when the brake light is engaged, and is not only kinda purty, but also enhances the rider's conspicuity or ability to be seen in traffic. There is also a bill, HB2392, that creates Vets plates for motorcycles. I don't think that's too much to ask for. Cars already have 'm. Both of these bills have been assigned to the transportation committee for discussion.

Our "Biker Days" began on a Monday and the next one will be on a Tuesday, the next on a Wednesday and so on, each in a different work week, so nobody has the whole burden of losing work to lobby during the work week. It's a good idea and it works! This first one this year was a real success with about 30 folks showing that FREEDOM was worth at least one day's pay. Now that's what being a legislative warrior is all about. I was there and I applaud all those that were there with me. We had a great day. Come JOIN us! Get politically active.

## Liberal Left on the Swingset with Our Children

By Harry Buffalo

For once, our Retardican governor came out and did something right! Recently, Gov. Owens told a radio audience that we have too many Dummocrats in our universities teaching political science. He professes that they instill "liberal bias". Roland Paris, Ass. professor at the University of Kolorado, Boulder finds his comments troubling. As well as he should!

The fact of the matter is that the Gov. only wants more evenness, diversity in our learning institutions. This is why he has endorsed Marc Holtzman for the job as next president of C.S.U. Balance and academic debate not just the liberal left side, which has dominated our universities for several years.

Of course the Dummocrats are P.O'd. Paris rejected claims that professors are instilling "liberal bias", and even a Denvoid newspaper columnist wanted to know "Just how does he (the Gov.) know every political science professor's party affiliation?"

Well ya dumb yuck, it's very simple. All you have to do is check voter registration records at election offices. And this my friend, will astound you! Here are some numbers.

American Enterprise Magazine researched 20 university faculties in 2002 and found left wing (Dummocrats, Green, Socialist Workers) dominated by extraordinary margins. Example; C.U. Boulder, 116 members left, members right,



5. Outside our state they found much the same. At Stanford, 151-17. At U-Cal San Diego, 99-6, at Cornell, 166-6. On it goes.

Because of this imbalance, culture and values, curriculum, the train of underlying thought would resemble indoctrination. This is what Mr. Owens is trying to get at. Diversity isn't one side only. More balance of right and left for the sake of academic debate. But wait, there's more.

The National Association of Scholars' investigation of four Colorado universities found "a radical social and political agenda that teaches Western civilization is something to be scorned rather than understood and perpetuated. American history is taught as a sorry record of injustice and oppression of minorities."

So, my question is why the tilt towards socialism? There is no simple answer. Although the Dummocrats see this all being a malicious, and fictitious rhetoric brought up by the Retardicans, the fact remains that it does exist. Possibly there is no conscious effort to hire commies, but like-minded people seem to attract each other. Diversity is more than Affirmative Action (which is sometimes just reverse discrimination). The rich array of opinions combined with individual thought, not follow the leader is going to have to come through to the next generation.

The American Constitution, and the Bill of Rights HAVE to be taught! The documents may have been written over 200 years ago- but they were written with the future in mind. They are not antiquated by any means! They deal with Life, Liberty and the Pursuit of Happiness. The Founding Fathers may have been slave owners, or devout Christians, but they also had the mind to see into a future where a person could live free of persecution, religious or otherwise. Tyranny will never be an option! All nations have had their moments, with dictators, kings, czars, premeirs, etc. If you think that there is a better country to live in, then get your whinnin' commie ass outta here! Better yet let me kick it outta here for ya!

Hard times are coming. I bet the Dummocrats will blame the Retardicans for that too! Shit never changes. We will hold together as a nation, as we always have. Just like the dust bowl days, we had no money. Just like before World War II, we had conscious objectors, not to mention the chicken shits of the Vietnam era. Good men gave the ultimate sacrifice so the UNITED States of America could remain free. F.D.R. said "We will endure as we have endured."

All I can say is I am a patriot, who will uphold the law of the land, which is the Constitution. I will ride my scoot hard, tote my weapon, live hard, and die free! Rubber side down.

**All laws which are repugnant to the Constitution are null and void. - Marbury v. Madison, 5 U.S. (2 Cranch) 137 (1803)**

# WHY THE TYRANTS WANT OPEN BORDERS

IT'S NOT ABOUT CHEAP LABOR - IT'S  
ABOUT FIREARMS

By Kevin Tuma

Something funny is going on with the Immigration issue.

While hamhanded government minions have been oppressing pregnant mothers and silver-haired grannies in airports, the Feds have also simultaneously changed the US-Mexico border from a national barrier into a welcome mat. Willfully, and completely against the tide of public opinion, Uncle Sam has decided that there's no more need for the INS to do anything about illegal immigration—with the possible exception of making sure undocumented aliens get food and water and clean blankets to sleep on while they are violating the laws of our land. Mexican truck drivers are invited to visit and prowl our highways, and George W. Bush wants to not only give illegal aliens amnesty, but Social Security benefits as well. This is all occurring in the midst of an overpoliced, overregulated, increasingly regimented United States society. The stampede of human traffic into the US must not be impeded. And by the way, our empty, open borders represent a recent change of political policy. The southern border was not this unguarded under Clinton. Republican loyalists, blind as lemmings, do not realize this, of course, as they do not realize many things.

I recall a picturesque road trip my wife and I made to California in 1997. We were repeatedly slowed, stopped,



and politely harassed all along the roads because we were driving through 'illegal alien country'. We were stopped in New Mexico, we were stopped in Arizona, and we were stopped in California. We passed through roadblock checkpoints. We saw stealth planes (yes, the black military kind) swooping over the border country making surveillance runs. In roadside California, we saw Mexicans getting busted by the border patrol, red lights flashing, and saw the poor souls thrown up against a wall. It was all pretty intimidating to behold. There was a great deal of border patrolling going on five or six years ago. Somehow, since, it seems to have evaporated in the desert sun.

Last summer, I not only drove all around border country in West Texas without seeing any Federal badges, I actually set my feet in the silt of the Rio Grande river. By silt, I mean that the river is only five inches deep in many places. I tried to float a rental canoe down the scenic Santa Elena canyon, and found it impossible due to the shallow water, mud puddles, and rocks. In the trans-Pecos desert, the Rio Grande is more of a creek bed than a river. The popular conception of the Rio Grande as a wide body of water that requires a boat or courageous swimming to cross is only appropriate in the deep washes near the Gulf of Mexico. In West Texas, a four-year-old child could easily wade across the Rio Grande, and in many places he could do so without getting his Underoos wet. The river is only marginally a boundary because it makes a blue line on the map.

It is clear to anyone who is awake and alert that open border policy is not only a departure from traditional policy—it marks the implementation of a new agenda. What might that agenda be? It could be many things. It could be an attempt by the centrist, "compassionate conservative" GOP to establish some sort of political correctness. It could be an overture to American Big Business, by bringing in cheap slave labor to US companies that do not wish to move their operations overseas to China. It could be the result of under-the-table dealings between our Federal government and Mexico, of which the general public is uninformed. It could be an attempt, on the part of Republicans, to create a minority class "vote plantation" to counteract the Democrats' usage of the Race Card.

It could be a subtle combination of all these things, but if the Bush Administration is doing it—in the midst of a "War On Terror", no less—

RIDERS FOR JUSTICE 7.

it is likely to be the implementation of a globalist, neo-fascist scheme intended to undermine the Constitution. There is no Presidential administration in history that has been more spook-ridden with characters straight out of 'Dr. Strangelove' than the George W. Bush administration. Bush is utterly subservient to the Rockefeller Wing of American politics, takes orders from throwbacks to the Iran-Contra era, and his servitude to their policies is transparently obvious.

The reason the globalists must have continuous, non-stop immigration, I believe, is guns. It's not about cheap labor—it's about firearms. The New World Order has to take away all our guns in order to dissolve US sovereignty and usher in a one-world government, and I believe many recent administrative actions of the Executive Branch have been shaped toward that objective. The best ways to disarm the people are with (a) massive increases of Federal police power, and (b) political subterfuge.

The subterfuge includes altering the political landscape by means of altering the makeup of the US population. In order to understand the reason why this is needed, it's necessary to retell a little recent political history.

The post-Columbine rout of the gun control agenda drove home to the tyrants the fact that the current majority of Americans will not allow themselves to be disarmed. There is only so much that a docile, ignorant population will swallow. Gun control foundered, after years of relative success, essentially because word got out to the American people that there was an anti-gun lobby. Many of the successes of gun control groups in the past had been aided by a coddling, protective news media that blasted the NRA while pretending that anti-gun counterpart organizations did not exist. Because the NRA was the most powerful lobby in Washington politics, the Press framed its disdain for the NRA in terms of "the evil of lobbies". This naturally annoyed many citizens; it was a good gimmick. When Columbine happened, however, the anti-gun lobbies came out of the political closet and laid all their cards on the table. They thought they had reached their historic Window of Opportunity. They whipped up a media frenzy. They marched out Rosie O'Donnell on TV to call for abolition of all firearms. They concocted a "Million Mom March" assembled from various phony Leftist fringe elements...many of the so-called "moms" were actually childless, lesbian feminists! Unfortunately for the gun grabbers, the mask of the "evil of lobbies" issue fell away, and it began to sink into most Americans' heads that there were a bunch of liberal activists out there who wanted to take away their guns. In addition, the anti-gunners faced a newly revitalized and Internet-savvy gun rights movement, made up of dozens of stalwart Second Amendment activist groups—plus an exceedingly powerful NRA, under the leadership of Charlton Heston. The pro-gunners mopped up the floor with the anti-gun lobbyists. Talk radio hosts, universally Republican, collectively spit in their eyes as well. The post-Columbine gun frenzy died with a whimper, and gun control increasingly lost favor with the public in poll after poll.

Gun control has remained in that miserable state ever since—even during the recent "DC Sniper" murder spree, the anti-gun chatter fell on largely deaf ears. In Maryland, arguably the center of the anti-gun universe, a Fall 2002 poll showed that only 36 percent of the people believed there was a need for stronger gun laws, with 53 percent opposed. In most other regions of the US, gun control has been lucky to get 20% approval, and polls often run as high as 80% against. To put it simply, for the time being, gun control is

Continued on page 8

**It is the Right and Duty  
of Every American**

**Citizen to be  
ARMED  
to Defend  
America  
against  
Terrorism.**




www.SaveOurGuns.com

Continued from page 7

dead in the water. And the elitist Republican Party, much to its own discomfort, is now wedded to the gun issue. Any obvious movement toward tighter gun laws may result in the GOP being ripped to shreds at the ballot box. It has also become increasingly obvious that declining crime rates nationwide are in direct correlation to an increase in civilian concealed weapons carry laws. Violent crime is declining because criminals don't want to be shot by law-abiding gun owners. The public is growing wise to this, even if the government and the news media will never openly admit it.

Never let it be said that globalist tyrants will fail to formulate a Plan B—an end run around public sentiment, aimed at injuring the Constitution. If there is one area in which the empire builders are tireless, it is in scheming new ways to dismantle our liberties. Foremost in their eyes is the obstacle of the Second Amendment. It is the lynchpin holding what freedoms we have left in place. If the Second Amendment goes, the people will be utterly subservient to the whims of the state. Guns are the big target. And it may be that they have worked out, and are implementing, a unique solution. The solution may be to radically change the makeup of the American electorate. To outnumber existing Americans, especially whites, with millions of immigrants from countries that already have gun control. These immigrants, in turn, will receive Federal amnesty a few years later, and become voters. Enter La Reconquista.

Mexico has totalitarian gun control laws. This is something that is never mentioned in the popular media or the press releases of the gun control lobby. Sentences for possession of firearms in Mexico can be up to 30 years. In Mexico, you can be arrested for carrying around a box of pistol



**HAWG WILD  
CUSTOM CHOPPERS**  
ED. DOG AND TROJ

PHONE: (970) 669-5589 FAX: (970) 669-5572  
4315 S. LINCOLN, LOVELAND CO 80537

**BUY, SELL, CONSIGN & TRADE PRE-OWNED HARLEYS**  
CUSTOM PAINT & CUSTOM BUILDING-FULL SERVICE CENTER  
\*\*\*\*\*FROM EL'S TO EVO'S\*\*\*\*\* (INCLUDING ENGINE REBUILDING)\*\*\*\*\*

Tues - Fri FINANCING AVAILABLE Saturday  
9:00 am - 5:30 pm 9:00 am - 5:00 pm

VISIT OUR WEB SITE AT WWW.HAWGWILDCC.COM  
"IF YOUR BIKE IS NOT BECOMING TO YOU, IT SHOULD BE COMING TO US!"

ammunition, and you can be thrown in prison for it. The population there is accustomed to being disarmed. Mexicans are also much more obedient to law enforcement than American whites and blacks. We are 'uppity' by comparison. We are spoiled. We are contentious. Mexicans are not. They are accustomed to being terrorized by rogue policemen who are little more than badge-wielding thugs.

The tyrants could gradually abolish US gun ownership using Mexican-Americans as a voting tool. To do so may take a few years, but not very long—especially in the numbers that they are pouring across our borders right now. The population of the US will soar, and the government will continue to arrogantly ignore complaints from the citizenry, calling anti-illegal alien talk "bigotry". In all likelihood, southwestern militia groups, who are trying to guard borders that the Feds won't, will be arrested and sent to jail. The fix is in. What better ethnic group to flood the electorate with, than a people who have never known the right to keep and bear arms?

And what would we call the current state of affairs with gun laws in heavily Latino-populated California? It is oppressively, unconstitutionally, almost phobically, anti-gun rights. If there is a state that ends up confiscating guns door-

to-door, that first state will be California. That is what happens when you blend the horrible legislative ideas of white liberal empire builders with voting blocks of misled Hispanics, who cannot understand why anyone would need to own a gun. A popular motto is, 'as California goes, so goes the nation'. With the aid of open border policy, perhaps it will. ----- <http://www.EtherZone.com>

After much arguing and deliberation, historians this week have come up with a phrase to describe the Clinton Era. It will be called: SEX BETWEEN THE BUSHES.

Arkansas is very proud of Bill Clinton. All these women coming forward, and not one is his sister!

The Center for Disease Control in Atlanta announced that Clinton has proven that you can get sex from Aides.

## A Second Amendment Case is Moving Toward the U.S. Supreme Court.

<http://www.keepandbeararms.com/>

Silveira v. Lockyer is a current lawsuit that challenges the California semi-auto rifle ban on the basis of Second Amendment protection of our individual right to keep and bear arms. The plaintiffs in the case are represented by California attorney Gary Gorski.

The U.S. Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals has already ruled (Hickman) that Americans have no individual right to own and carry guns. A three-judge panel upheld that ruling in Silveira v. Lockyer. Gorski has petitioned for a hearing by the full court. Barring a self-reversal by the full Ninth Circuit, this case WILL be appealed to the U.S. Supreme Court.

In 1999, California's Democrat-controlled government issued an edict banning the sale, manufacture, or importation into the state of all semi-automatic rifles having combinations of arbitrarily-selected ergonomic features, such as detachable magazines, folding stocks, flash suppressors, and pistol grips. If you already owned such a rifle, the edict said you must register it with the state.

In 2000, California attorney Gary Gorski filed a lawsuit in the U.S. District Court, Eastern California challenging that ban on the basis of several claims, including the Second Amendment's protection of the individual right to keep and bear arms. The short name of that case is Silveira v. Lockyer.

Predictably, Silveira v. Lockyer was tossed out by that court. Gorski appealed to the infamously liberal, frequently overturned U.S. Ninth Circuit Court. The only court that can overturn this Ninth Circuit Court ruling is the U.S. Supreme Court.

As expected, a three-judge panel dismissed all of Gorski's claims but — unexpectedly — one: the court agreed with Gorski that retired police officers were not "super citizens" who were exempt from the semi-auto ban. Good for Mr. Gorski! Now these retired officers must join us in this fight if they want their freedoms back.

The plaintiffs and Mr. Gorski are determined to move this case to the Supreme Court. More importantly, Mr. Gorski's in-progress petition asking the Supreme Court to hear Silveira v. Lockyer is being expertly constructed. Because of this, Silveira v. Lockyer has a good chance of being heard by the Supreme Court.


### Possible outcomes of *Silveira v. Lockyer*

**No Ruling.** A Supreme Court refusal to hear Silveira v. Lockyer will not set a harmful legal precedent. That is

**Continued on page 9**

HAWG WILD C.C.

# 24TH ANNUAL SPRING MOTORCYCLE SHOW & SWAP MEET



IN LONGMONT CO  
AT THE BOULDER  
COUNTY FAIRGROUNDS



MARCH 15TH & 16TH

Saturday 9am to 5 pm

Sunday 10am to 5 pm

ADMISSION \$9

CHILDREN UNDER 12 FREE

**DOOR PRIZE: A HARLEY DAVIDSON!!!  
DRAWING WILL BE HELD AT 5PM SUNDAY**

For swap meet show and booth information contact:

Hawg Wild CC

4315 S Lincoln Ave

Loveland CO 80537

970-669-5589

[www.hawgwildcc.com](http://www.hawgwildcc.com)



because such a refusal says nothing whatsoever about the merits of the plaintiffs' claims. Future Second Amendment cases addressing the same issues can still be brought before the high court.

**Best Ruling.** The best Supreme Court ruling would be the one that is historically, constitutionally, and logically sound; such a ruling would be: (1) consistent with the very purpose of the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment, which is to clarify that the Bill of Rights applies to the states and applies to everyone in the United States; (2) consistent with "incorporation" precedents set by rulings on other Amendments in the Bill of Rights; (3) consistent with all other instances in the Bill of Rights where "the people" refers to an individual right; and (4) consistent with one purpose of the Second Amendment that the Founders thought it wise to mention *explicitly* — that is, having a citizen militia *free to arm itself* with weapons suitable for fighting either an invading army or the army of our own government, should it become tyrannical. Such a ruling has the potential to eliminate most of the harassment, fines, criminal charges, imprisonment, and killing of citizens that we have too long endured under the guise of "reasonable gun control".

**Next Best Ruling.** Even a Supreme Court ruling that is constitutionally sound on *just the first two aspects* that must be addressed in *Silveira v. Lockyer* ("incorporation" and "individual right"), but which upheld — for whatever foolish, illogical, unconstitutional reasons — the California ban on selected semi-automatic rifles, would still have a tremendous, positive, permanent effect on our right to buy, own, carry, and use firearms — and would leave the door open to future challenges regarding our right to own military pattern rifles.

**Worst Ruling?** The worst Supreme Court ruling would be that: (1) the Second Amendment — unlike other Amendments in the Bill of Rights — can be ignored by the states, and/or that (2) the Second Amendment — unlike other amendments using the words "the people" — does not actually refer to "the people" or their individual rights. That would mean the "new" Second Amendment is now shrunken, in effect, to "The right of the states to arm militias cannot be infringed by the federal government". In practice, this would mean that the federal government (which controls interpretation of the Constitution) and any state government would have the power to ban any firearm it chose to ban, including bolt action or lever-action rifles. This would be quite shocking to every gun owner in America. But it would make it absolutely clear that the Supreme Court will uphold the Bill of Rights and the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment only when it feels

like it. What Americans would do about that situation would remain to be seen.

We must settle the "question" of the Second Amendment sooner rather than later. We must restore the free exercise of our right to own and use guns in our lifetime. We will not accept another 10, 20, 30, 40, or 50 years of citizens being harassed, fined, imprisoned, and killed for exercising a constitutionally protected right.

***YOU CAN HELP ensure that the best possible Second Amendment case is made by donating to the tax exempt collaborator in this project, Citizens Of America, right now — while we have the most time available to properly prepare. Now is the time to put your money on the line. If you would like to be part of this landmark case, get more information on making a donation at:***

***<http://www.citizensofamerica.org/donatemain.htm>***

## AMA Fights Insurance Discrimination Against Bikers

As the result of intense efforts by the AMA, legislation has been introduced in Congress to end health-care discrimination against motorcyclists.

In the nineties, Congress passed a law meant to bar employers from refusing to cover motorcycle-related injuries in the health-care coverage they offer employees. But federal bureaucrats reversed that law, writing rules to allow health insurance discrimination against motorcyclists and others who engage in legal activities like skiing, snowmobiling, ATV riding or horseback riding. This new legislation would correct that action.

The bill, introduced by US Senators. Russ Feingold (D-WI) and Susan Collins (R-ME) on February 14, would "promote health-care coverage parity for individuals participating in legal recreational activities or legal transportation activities." Specifically, the bill states that "a plan or issuer may not deny benefits otherwise provided for the treatment of any injury solely because such injury resulted from participation of the participant or beneficiary in an activity such as motorcycling, snowmobiling, all-terrain vehicle riding, horseback riding, skiing or other similar legal activity."

Those words came out of meetings between aides for the senators and the AMA's team in Washington, DC, that also included officials of the Motorcycle Riders Foundation. The bill also has the endorsement of the All-Terrain Vehicle Association, the American Horse Council and the American Snowmobilers Association, among others.

Ed Moreland, AMA vice president for government relations, said the threat of losing health-care benefits is enormous. "Medical bills from an accident can easily total tens or even hundreds of thousands of dollars," he said. "Without insurance, that can amount to a devastating blow to any rider." Riders and others who want to end this type of insurance discrimination are urged to send letters to their federal lawmakers asking them to support the Feingold-Collins bill. An easy way to send your message is to use the AMA Rapid Response Center.

"We succeeded in this effort years ago, only to see our efforts reversed by federal agencies," Moreland said. "Now, we have a second chance to protect all motorcyclists from health-insurance discrimination. We need to take advantage of this opportunity."

"Communication is Comin' on Strong"

**WISCONSIN'S  
BIKERS "OPEN ROAD" GAZETTE**

Jam packed with News to Nostalgia,  
Action to Adventure, Culture to Comedy,  
Events, Entertainment, Music & More.

**HIGH ENERGY, ACTION PACKED,  
DIRECT MARKET, NEWS NETWORK**

\$20 & IT'S RIGHT TO YOUR DOOR  
EVERY MONTH FOR ONE FULL YEAR!

SEND TO:  
BOX 48 TOMAHAWK, WI 54487

WHAT'S THE ROAR

## NHTSA's New Motorcycle Safety Program is Leaning, But Not Leaping, In the Right Direction

<http://www.mrf.org/>

In reading the new Motorcycle Safety Program (MSP) issued by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), one thing shows up pretty clearly: the footprints of researchers outnumber the boot prints of riders.

True, the new NHTSA plan places more emphasis on rider training, acknowledges as unsatisfactory long waiting periods for rider training, takes a step closer to genuine motorist awareness and emphasizes that "crash prevention...offers the greatest potential safety benefit for motorcyclists."

"Motorcyclists can take credit for these gains," said Tom Wyld, Vice President of Government Relations for the Motorcycle Riders Foundation (MRF), "but NHTSA is only leaning, rather than leaping, in the right direction toward motorcycle safety." You can view NHTSA's new Motorcycle Safety Program in its entirety by visiting their website at <http://www.nhtsa.dot.gov/hot/>.

Under "Crash Prevention," a NHTSA data collection priority is a "study of rehabilitation costs associated with motorcycle crash related injuries." NHTSA attempts to justify this cost study merely by stating that it would "provide a more complete picture of costs associated with motorcycle crashes." "The cost of a crash does not and cannot help anyone to prevent a crash," said Wyld.

NHTSA issued this new plan more than a year and a half after the Motorcycle Riders Foundation, State Motorcyclists' Rights Organizations (SMROs) and academicians leveled stiff criticism of the draft "Motorcycle Safety Improvement Plan" (McSIP) the agency had proposed in May 2001. The MRF and SMROs charged that plan ignored dangerous motorists (i.e., car drivers) and placed more emphasis on safer crashing (e.g., protective gear) than safer riding.

The MSP's greatest weakness lies in rider training and motorist awareness. In the summer of 2001, we asked the agency to get behind the joint MRF-SMRO plan for rider safety by supporting our call for a resource injection to help

Florida is ready for 2004

Fisher-Price Voting Device

None Independent Democrat Republican

If you can't vote with this you can't think enough to choose.

Choose Your Shape

**Continued from page 9**

...rider training and motorist awareness. We began providing our plan to Congress nearly one year ago. Today, NHTSA's rider training program continues to fall well short of the mark.

NHTSA's safety program for motorcycle safety is a shadow of the joint MRF-SMRO agenda for the reauthorization of the Transportation Equity Act for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century, or TEA-21. "Motorcycles Rev the Future," the joint MRF-SMRO plan for TEA-21 reauthorization, can be seen in its entirety at [www.mrf.org/TEA-03.php](http://www.mrf.org/TEA-03.php).

"NHTSA may have had an opportunity to hear, but the agency is not listening closely enough to street riders," said Wyld. "In the next five years, nothing will impact motorcycle safety more than the reauthorization of TEA-21. With a fresh, new \$2 million appropriation for NHTSA to launch a massive study of motorcycle crashes, researchers are way out ahead of riders. It is time to accelerate this debate to get resources where they matter the most - to prevent crashes, reduce injuries and save lives."

**I R Edgukated inn AmariKa**

**Tom Marsland - NewsMax.com**

**Monday, Feb. 3, 2003**

NEWSMAX.COM - Your tax dollars are at work in a government school near you; seated in neat little rows, we find Thommoi' and Mounique (Tom and Monica in a former generation). They are fully products of their educational environment.

They're ... multicultural, diverse, humanist, evolutionist, advocates for gun control, politically correct in speech, tolerant, especially of homosexuality, steeped in revisionist history, green, anti-capitalist, anti-fossil-fuel, anti-West, pro-Palestinian, anti-Zionist, anti-military, anti-corporate-



profits, pro-big-government, "pro-choice," pro-family planning, enlightened young citizens of our mother, the Earth.

They just can't add, or subtract, or read, or write, or speak the king's English. For spending more money on education than any other nation on Earth (yes, you read that right), we're not exactly producing rocket scientists or brain surgeons in our government schools.

The fault lies where? Though not 100 percent certain, I strongly suspect the "new age," P.C. language, and revisionist history movements. Their integration into our public systems is near total. It always shocks and disturbs those of us outside of that system. Revisionist education is nothing new, it's the scope and magnitude that's changed.

Until around three decades ago, government education's most egregious sin was telling our children dumb stories. Such as George Washington chopping down a cherry tree, or throwing a coin across the Potomac River. Such as embellishments of Honest Abe's boyhood travail, and even a sanitized retelling of Teddy Roosevelt's Rough Rider charge "uphill" at the battle of San Juan Heights in Cuba. Even as a child, I pondered the veracity of these tales.

As in most all revisionism, these stories had elements of truth in them, inaccurate though not intellectually lethal. Something like your dad saying, "When I was a kid, I walked 5 miles each way to school ... uphill both ways ... even when it was 20 below zero ... in May."

I hate droning on about the "good ol' days," and would normally avoid it, were intellectual lethality not the order of today. All repressive regimes institute this, always have, always will. It's just that I falsely believed America more pristine than this. Yes, I am looking for a good 12-step group to deal with my former delusion.

Though government educators no longer mischaracterize Washington, with dull cherry-tree and coin-tossing stories, we're now really messing with our kids' minds.

They blame ...

1. the start of WWII on us (U.S.A.) and our allies, due to cessation of oil supplies to the Far East.
2. the start of the "first" Gulf War on earlier American support for Saddam.
3. pollution on the American industrial progress, not indigenous people's cooking fires.
4. Palestinian suicide bombings on Israel, because they're ... well, they are there!
5. racism on the party of Lincoln.

They tell ...

1. our children of Teddy Roosevelt's initiatives founding the national park system, but not of his military bravery, or his love of hunting, or his failed New Mexico game management initiative.
2. our children that George Washington was a philanderer, because of a single order of women's hosiery on a mili-

- tary requisition form. No longer mentioned is his daily one-hour prayer and Scripture-reading habit.
3. our children that Harriet Beecher Stowe's "Uncle Tom's Cabin" was racist literature. What you don't hear is that the story's main character, Tom, is a metaphorical caricature of Jesus Christ. Given the power to be free, he voluntarily stands by his friend, costing him (Tom) his freedom, though leading his friend to a relationship with his Creator prior to his departure from this vale of tears.
  4. our children about "Palestine's history." In reality, Palestine has no history. There has never been a nation known as Palestine. There is a group of unfortunately displaced people, largely from the former Trans-Jordan, that now identify themselves as Palestinians. I am OK with people identifying themselves by any proper noun of their choosing. I am not OK with rewriting history to indoctrinate schoolchildren.

For the record ...

1. George Washington actually did throw a piece of eight across the much narrower Rappahannock River.
2. Abe Lincoln did chop wood on the farm, live in a log house and read by candlelight ... as did all farm kids in Illinois at the turn of the 19<sup>th</sup> century.
3. Teddy Roosevelt actually was a brave man, facing enemy fire as a young man, and surviving an assassination attempt later in life. But, he never did fully recover from his first wife's death. He was forever a man of sorrows, able to survive battle, unable to overcome a single emotional event.
4. Yes, your lamenting dad probably really did walk a long way to school, even on very cold days.

I wonder if Histor, the Greek father of modern written history, is rolling in his grave ... along with a legion of our founding fathers.

*Tom Marsland is the talk show host of "Tom's Place," heard Monday through Friday from 4-6 p.m. CST on the nationwide Salem Radio Network's AM 980 KKMS in Minneapolis. A noted speaker, one-time heavyweight wrestler and former corporate CEO, Tom writes cultural, political and religious commentary for Assist News Service, NewsMax.com, the MN Christian Chronicle and others. He's also the U.S. correspondent heard throughout New Zealand on Radio Rhema. E-mail Tom at: [tom@kkms.com](mailto:tom@kkms.com).*

*Reproduced with the permission of NewsMax.com. All rights reserved.*

**Dodge City?**

**By Dr. Michael R. Bowen - February 3, 2003**

It's often said that the Second Amendment is an antiquated concept, no longer applicable in our modern society. We are told "This is not Dodge City anymore." This is correct. Today's America is nothing like Dodge City. It's worse. Americans don't need personal firearms the way they did back then. They need them more.

Dodge City, wild frontier town of the buffalo hunters, cowboys, and Indian fighters had by the 1870s established such a violent reputation that its name became a byword for promiscuous gunplay. But much of this was newspaper sensationalism, for the murder rate in Dodge was actually lower than it is in most American cities today. The killing was almost entirely confined to the denizens of the gambling hells, barrooms, and bordellos frequented by the drunken cowboy, card sharp, and just plain sneak thief. The ordinary

sober citizen was almost never molested, and in fact when he was harmed, the perpetrator was dealt with summarily and in brutal fashion, often by his own kind. A woman could walk down Main Street alone at night in Dodge in perfect safety, which is more than we can say for our 'civilized' cities today.

There are two reasons for this: first, there was a commonly held code of decency in those far-off days, and even the crooks subscribed to it, confining their violence among themselves. This is why gambling hall shootouts were viewed with relative indulgence in the old West, while attacks on honest citizens were vigorously punished. The judges and other officials also had this code of decency, so that a murderer often got the noose where today he gets parole.

The second factor is that many of those ordinary citizens, including the women, were armed. The drunken cowboy who tried to pull the farmer's wife into the alley could expect to get shot, often by the wife herself. And afterward, the wife could count on the support of her community, instead of being sued for everything she had the way we do today.

So in bloody Dodge City, almost everyone who got shot was asking for it. If they weren't engaged in drunken brawls, thievery, or cheating at cards, they were busy molesting the Indians and slaughtering their buffalo. But the violent and the criminal left the decent people alone or paid the price, and quickly. Today it's the ordinary citizen who is the target. And the criminals know, as we see in England (where Great Britain used to be), that their victims will not only be unarmed, but will be prosecuted if they try to defend themselves. In the United States today it is settled law that the police have no obligation to protect us. I doubt that many policemen see it that way, but that's how our legal system sees it. We have no right to be protected by law enforcement, and we are told we have no right to take up arms to defend ourselves.

The advocates of gun control are more right than they realize. This isn't the wild, wild west anymore. This isn't Dodge City. If only it could be so: we'd all be a lot safer.

*Dr. Michael Bowen, a former Naval officer, has a private medical practice in Wolfeboro, New Hampshire. He writes the column "The Basics" for www.AmericasVoices.org, a conservative political opinion and educational web site. His columns also appear in other popular Internet sites, including www.Opinionet.com and www.enterstageright.com*

**Gunslinger's Emporium (one of RFJ's advertising supporters) is offering tickets to win an Olympic Arms Full Custom AR-15 with engraving. It will be given away at the Friends of NRA banquet on Aug. 9, 2003. Tickets are \$10. Call 303-429-3335 for more info.**

## Hillbilly duck hunter.

A hillbilly went hunting one day in Oklahoma and bagged three ducks. He put them in the bed of his pickup truck and was about to drive home when he was confronted by an ornery game warden that didn't like hillbillies.

The game warden ordered the hillbilly to show his hunting license, and the hillbilly pulled out a valid Oklahoma

hunting license.

The game warden looked at the license, then reached over and picked up one of the ducks, sniffed its butt, and said, "This duck ain't from Oklahoma. This is a Kansas duck. You got a Kansas huntin' license, boy?"

The hillbilly reached into his wallet and produced a Kansas hunting license.

The game warden looked at it, then reached over and grabbed the second duck, sniffed its butt, and said, "This ain't no Kansas duck. This duck's from Arkansas. You got a Arkansas license?"

The hillbilly reached into his wallet and produced an Arkansas hunting license.

The warden then reached over and picked up the third duck, sniffed its butt, and said, "This ain't no Arkansas duck. This here duck's from South Carolina. You got a South Carolina huntin' license?"

Again the hillbilly reached into his wallet and brought out a South Carolina hunting license.

The game warden was extremely frustrated at this point, and he yelled at the hillbilly, "Just where the hell are you from?"

The hillbilly turned around, bent over, dropped his pants, and said, "You tell me, expert."

### Continued from page 2

what came of it.

So that's the Stella Awards. If by chance any of these people died, thus saving the human gene pool by not being able to procreate, we would have a Darwin Award!

Let's get back to the Brady Bunch shall we? After reading the above, I think the frivolousness of their suit is on the brink of the coveted Stella Award, don't you? In any case I'm still going to follow through and sue! Any takers on going in with me?

Quote for this month: "Liberty lies in the hearts of men and women; when it dies there, no constitution, no law, no court can save it."

America needs fewer laws, not more prisons. - JAMES BOVARD

If you're not living on the edge...you are taking up too much space. - Ted Valliere

"Terrorism is the best political weapon for nothing drives people harder than a fear of sudden death." - Adolph Hitler

"The democracy will cease to exist when you take away from those who are willing to work and give to those who would not." .....Thomas Jefferson

I would like to join **RIDERS FOR JUSTICE**, a Colorado based organization working for **BIKER'S RIGHTS** nationwide.  
Membership is **\$20.00** per year (October thru September) and includes 9 issues (1 year) of our newsletter.  
Add **\$5.00** for an RFJ patch! RFJ is a 501(c)(4) organization.  
Donations and dues are not deductible. Mail fee and form to:

**RIDERS FOR JUSTICE**  
**PO BOX 1986**  
**LOVELAND CO 80539-1986**

NAME \_\_\_\_\_  
ADDRESS \_\_\_\_\_  
CITY \_\_\_\_\_ STATE \_\_\_\_\_ ZIP \_\_\_\_\_  
PHONE \_\_\_\_\_  
E-MAIL \_\_\_\_\_  
NEW \_\_\_\_\_ RENEW \_\_\_\_\_ DATE \_\_\_\_\_

**Riders For Justice Newspapers can be found at the following locations:**

#### CLIFTON

Clifton Pawn Inc  
Engine-Newty Ent.  
Triple Tree Tavern

#### COLORADO SPRINGS

Dragon Precision Machine  
High Country Custom Cycles  
Pikes Peak Harley Davidson

#### CORTEZ

Mario's Leather  
Shooter's World

#### DENVER

2 Wheeler's Motorcycle Shop  
American Custom Cycles  
Black Widow Cams  
Freedom Harley Davidson  
Gunslinger's Emporium  
Howell's Inc  
Jerry's Leather Goods  
LaFore's MC  
Mile High Harley Davidson  
Piper Inn  
Quality Machine  
Rocky Mtn Harley Davidson  
Shuffle Inn  
Sun Harley Davidson

#### GRAND JUNCTION

Creative Tattooing  
Grand Junction HD  
Any Auto Wrecking

#### GREELY

A Righteous Ride  
Franklin Cycle Sales  
Greeley Harley-Davidson  
Skibo's Tattooing Studio  
Tainted Hearts  
The Plantation  
The Real Leather Co

#### FORT COLLINS

A Classic Touch  
American Cycle Performance  
Precision Knife & Tool  
Ray's Motorcycle  
Rebel Choppers  
Sangers Cycles  
Skibo's Front Range Tattoo  
Thunder Mountain HD  
Young's Liquors

#### FRUITA

Chasers Dancehall

#### LONGMONT

Frontline Cycles  
High Country Harley Davidson  
Rocky Mountain Saloon

#### LOVELAND

Cycle Central  
Hawg Wild Custom Choppers  
Loveland Cycle Supply  
Loveland Motorsports  
Tri-City Cycle

#### NUNN

US Kustoms Motorsport

#### PUEBLO

Outpost Harley Davidson  
Waterhole #1

#### RIFLE

Rifle Creek Vintage MC

#### TIMNATH

CF&G Roadhouse

#### WOODLAND PARK

Tres Hombres

**STAMP OUT HELMET LAWS!**

# SUPPORT REF ADVERTISERS!!!!

## THEY SUPPORT US!!!!

Advertising Rates: One Quarter Page \$25 Per Issue One Half Page \$50 Per Issue  
 Full Page \$100 Per Issue Business Card \$10 Per Issue or \$65 Per Year (Includes Membership)

Note: Camera ready or computer generated artwork required for ads.



**SHOOTER'S WORLD**  
 Firearms - Accessories - Repairs

P.O. Box 1240  
 1220 East North Street  
 Cortez, Colorado 81321

**KEN BANKS**  
 (970) 565-8960  
 (800) 565-8961

**A RIGHTEOUS RIDE  
 V-TWIN MOTORS**

3118 State St., Evans, CO 80620, (970) 339-5781  
 HARLEY-DAVIDSON SPECIALISTS  
 Engine, Transmission & Generator Rebuilders  
 Machine Shop • Complete Fabrication • Parts & Accessories  
**Randy Haag** **Willie Spaedt**

**C & D SHIPPING**  
 2678 Highway 50  
 Grand Junction, CO 81503  
 245-4631 • Fax 245-4978

AUTHORIZED UPS  
 OUTLET  
 FED EX  
 PACKAGING  
 BOXES  
 FAX SERVICE  
 COPIES MADE  
 COLORED COPIES

OWNERS  
**BILL & SANDY EARNHEART**

LEV. 26: 1-13

**TRI-CITY CYCLE**  
 LOVELAND, CO

**COLORADO'S LARGEST  
 SELECTION OF USED:**

Harleys • Touring Bikes  
 Cruisers • Dirt Bikes  
 4-Wheelers • Snowmobiles  
 Water Craft

**BUY • SELL • TRADE**

2028 E. Jeffers Frontage Rd. • Loveland, CO  
 (970) 667-8697 • Toll Free: (877) 365-1500

CHROME - CUSTOM MOTORCYCLE PARTS - WELDING  
 FRAMES - MACHINING - REPAIR - FRONT ENDS  
 CUSTOM ENGRAVING  
 CUSTOMIZING FOR ALL BIKES

**QUALITY MACHINE**  
 IF YOU CAN'T BUY IT, I CAN MAKE IT  
 AXLES, BUSHINGS, NUTS, BOLTS, ETC.

**The Most Equipped Shop in the Tri-State Area**

SINCE 1978 9005 W. 44TH AVENUE  
 (303) 421-4412 WHEAT RIDGE, CO 80033

**BLACK WIDOW TAMS**  
 "Feel The Power" (2009)

Custom Grinding Service • Cylinder Head Porting & Polishing  
 Stock - Vintage - Racing

**Craig E. "Abe" Lockwood**  
 3736 Norwood Dr • Littleton, CO 80125  
 (303) 814-1709 • Fax (303) 814-1732

**FRONTLINE CYCLES**  
 HARDCORE PERFORMANCE

Mark Souter  
 Len Havens  
 Maria Souter

(303) 684-0362  
 Fax (303) 684-6803

1336 Sherman Dr., Unit A  
 Longmont, CO 80501

Specializing in Harley-Davidson Parts and Service  
[www.frontlinecycles.com](http://www.frontlinecycles.com)

**Gunslingers Emporium**  
 Arms / Armament

Western Wear for the Cowboy Action Shooter  
 Gunsmithing & Firearms Instruction

8550 N. Pearl St. Thornton Co.  
 S.A.S.S. Members  
 Ross Echtermeyer - Proprietor - aka Deal Boss #46711  
 Clay Kreider - Gunsmith - aka Cajun Joe #46712  
 Personal Safety Associates Inc. [www.thunder2K.com](http://www.thunder2K.com)  
 Shop 303-429-3335 Cell 720-530-3496

**Enginuity Enterprises, Inc.**  
 for Harley Davidsons

• Speed Equipment  
 • Parts • Service  
 Engine Rebuilding & Machining

**Joe Tavarone** **Craig Cardelucci**  
 3263 F. Road • Clifton, CO (970) 523-6300

**Buell**  
 AMERICAN MOTORCYCLES

**Sun Enterprises**  
 8058 Pearl St.  
 Thornton, CO 80229  
 303-287-7567

[www.sunharleydavidson.com](http://www.sunharleydavidson.com) • E-mail [sun@sunert.com](mailto:sun@sunert.com)

970 484-4889 309 S. Summitview Dr.  
 970 484-3154 Unit #12  
 Ft. Collins, CO 80524  
 Matt & Cindy

Show your REFJ card for 10% off all parts  
 and accessories purchased at the counter!

**AMERICAN CYCLE  
 PERFORMANCE**

SPECIALIZING IN HARLEY DAVIDSON MOTORCYCLES

PARTS ACCESSORIES SERVICE

**Rebel Choppers**  
**"Custom Motorcycles"**  
 Parts - Service - Accessories - L.E.D. Lights  
 Quality Service - all work guaranteed.

**Jay Tamlin Owner**

6740 S. College Ave. 970-226-1744  
 Ft. Collins CO 80525 970-226-1753 Fax

**PRECISION KNIFE AND TOOL**  
 THE CUTTING EDGE FOR PERFORMANCE

SALES SERVICE

**TIM LANCASTER, OWNER**  
 200 COMMERCE DR., SUITE B • FT. COLLINS, CO 80524  
 PHONE/FAX: (970) 419-3327 • CELL: (970) 689-0330

**M.A.C. Towing**

1075 South 75 East  
 Richfield, Utah 84701  
 Phone: (435) 896-5983  
 888-244-ATOW (2869)

Other locations in  
 Green River & Hanksville

**YATES STUDIO OF ART**  
 L. L. C.  
 (970) 454-2194

*William E. "Bill" Yates*

William E. "Bill" Yates  
 28 Elm Avenue  
 Estes, Colorado 80515-3419  
 Sculptures • Paintings • Murals

**SANGERS CYCLES**  
 MOTORCYCLE  
 PARTS & SERVICE

• Harley-Davidson Factory Trained  
 • All Work Guaranteed  
 • Over 28 Years Experience  
 • Specializing in Harley Davidson

**Kevin & Kay Sanger**  
 Owners

2649 E. Mulberry  
 Unit #6  
 Fort Collins, CO 80524  
[www.sangerscycles.com](http://www.sangerscycles.com)

(970) 419-5500  
 Fax: (970) 419-5522

**ANY AUTO  
 WRECKING**  
 540 Holland Ave  
 GRAND JUNCTION, CO.  
**242-0131**

M - F 8 - 5  
 SAT 9 - 2  
 Free Shop Delivery Dave & Verna Murphy

**RAY'S MOTORCYCLE SERVICE**  
 Repairs on most brands  
 Cylinder Boring • Crankshaft Rebuilding  
 Exhaust Analyzer • Snowmobile & ATV Repairs

**RAY ARNDT**  
 223-2707

2411 W. Drake Rd. • Fort Collins, Colorado 80526